

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

04/11/90 0771.03,066 CROOKSTON

3087Þ

CLIMAM & OLDHAN CO. 1225 WEST MARKET STREET AKRON, OH 44313

AHMAD, A

158

03/21/91

This application has been examined Responsive to communication f	led on Thi	s action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), days from the come abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-4 4. Notice of Informal Patent Applica 6. ———————————————————————————————————	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION		
1. 🗹 Claims	are	pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	are wit	hdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	he	ve been cancelled.
3. Claims	ar	e allowed.
4. [2] Claims	ar	e rejected.
5. Ctaims		
6. Claims	are subject to restriction or	election requirement
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.		
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.		
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re	Patent Drawing, PTO-948).	C.F.R. 1,84 these drawings
10. The proposed additional or substitute shoet(s) of drawings, filed on _examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	. has (have) been 🛘 a	approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has	been approved; clisapproved (see	explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. been filed in parent application, serial no	The certified copy has Deen received filed on	not been received
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
14. Other		

Serial No. 07/508,066
Art Unit 158

15.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "overlying said insulated roofboard member" is not clear as to whether it lays on top of the blocks or it also fills the channels between the blocks.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The drawing fail to show the peripheral edges of the roofboard structure as claimed.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: numerals 14C stated in page 7, line 15 and 10 stated in page 13, line 11 of the specification could not be located in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required. 18.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Serial No. 07/508,066

Art Unit 158

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

19.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Elder in view of Clarvoe. Elder discloses a roof structure and roofing material disposed thereover wherein the insulation layer is provided with a protective layer (col. 2, lines 37-40), suitably a polyvinyl chloride sheet (col. 3, lines 50-60). The insulation layer is provided with air passageways in the shape of channels that run perpendicular to each other (fig. 2) on its top surface. However, this reference fails to show peripheral edges having channels connected thereto.

Serial No. 07/508,066

Art Unit 158

Clarvoe teaches a roofing membrane comprising a waterproof film and foam attached thereto (col. 2, lines 26-30). Further, the foam or sponge layer may be provided with a plurality of intersecting grooves for venting purposes (col. 3, lines 8-15). As shown in figure 5, a salvage edge (16) of the laminate allows the formation of passageways between panels of foam. Clarvoe teaches the advantage of using an insulation panel having salvage edge that is interconnected to a network of channels for aiding in the venting of moisture. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Clarvoe's teaching of an insulation panel with an edge that is parallel to a set of channels in the invention of Elder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Nasser Ahmad at telephone number (703) 308-4411.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 158

NAhmad/tms March 19, 1991